UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/804,101	03/19/2004	Masahiko Ogino	500.43682X00	7102	
	7590 02/08/200 TERRY, STOUT & K	EXAMINER			
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			LUK, EMM	LUK, EMMANUEL S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1722		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MOI	NTHS	02/08/2007	PAP	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/804,101	OGINO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Emmanuel S. Luk	1722			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
	Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	November 2006.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-7 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,8,9 and 11</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are objected to.					
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di				
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F				

Application/Control Number: 10/804,101 Page 2

Art Unit: 1722

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 8, 9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coffin (3737274).

Coffin teaches the press apparatus having a substrate (W), the mold which have two press members (28, 12), and a intermediate buffer member (32). Plastic deformation of the substrate takes place when the pressure is exerted perpendicular to the substrate (sheet material, Col. 2, line 48-53). Coffin also teaches heating means (33) for the pressing area. The heating and pressing will have surface deformation and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize the deformations described by Coffin to include fine concavities and convexities.

In regards to the material for the buffer, the material for the buffermember include from two different groups of material including polyimide, polytetraflouroethylene, silicon rubber, polyethylene terephthalate, polyethylene, and acrylonitrile butadiene rubber. Coffin teaches a buffer member comprising of a fluorocarbon coating such as polytetraflouroakylene, this can an equivalent material and it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the buffer material to other materials including polytetraflouroethylene and other groups.

In regards to the size of the members and mold in relation to the substrate, the substrate is the desired product and can be changed according to the user's choice.

The change would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art so that the product can be adjusted accordingly for the desired dimensions needed by the user.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, lines 2 and 3, concerning the "substrate and mold formed on its surface fine concavities and convexitities" is unclear what "its surface" is referring to the mold or the substrate. Further clarification is needed to be clear where the fine concavities and convexities are located.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,101 Page 4

Art Unit: 1722

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record, Coffin, Chou, and Roland, fail to teach a nanoprint apparatus having a substrate, an intermediary buffer member that is successively replaced by a mechanism after heating and pressing with a head having a press surface adjacent to the surface of the mold opposite to the surface thereof having fine concavities and convexities, and wherein the buffer member is interposed between the mold and the head. The closest prior art fails to teach the mechanism for successively replacing the buffer member with a new one after heating and pressing, the placement of the press surface in relation to the mold and the buffer member, and also the mold surface having the fine concavities and convexities.
- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 2 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The new prior art reference, Coffin, teaches the claimed apparatus having substrate, the mold for heating and pressing and the buffer member that is successively replaced.

Art Unit: 1722

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel S. Luk whose telephone number is (571) 272-1134. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Fridays from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

IPERVISORY 'PATENT EXAMINI TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

EL